

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILI | NG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|------|----------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/047,681 | 01/ | /14/2002 | Kuan-Sheng Hsich | JCLA7793 | 5281 |
| 7590 10/16/2003 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| J.C. Patents, Inc. | | | | MITCHELL, JAMES M | |
| Suite 250 4 Venture | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Irvine, CA 92 | 2618 | | | 2827 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/047.681 | | HSIEH, KUAN-SHENG | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | | James M. Mitchell | 2827 | | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Renly | ears on the cover sheet | with th correspondenc addr | ss | | | | | |
| | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY | / IS SET TO EVDIDE a | MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mic cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | munication. | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) \[\] | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | · | is action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a | ince except for formal m | atters, prosecution as to the | merits is | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | ex parte quayre, 1000 C | J.D. 11, 400 O.G. 210. | | | | | | |
| 4)🖾 | Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav | vn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| · · · | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| _ | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. | 8 119(a)-(d) or (f) | | | | | | |
| | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | priority under do 0,0,0 | . 3 1 10(a) (a) of (i). | | | | | | |
| • | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | | Application No. | | | | | | |
| | 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori | | | age | | | | | |
| * S | application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | -90 | | | | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C | . § 119(e) (to a provisional a | pplication). | | | | | |
| | ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(| | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice o | v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/047,681

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 8-12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hozumi (JP406275123A).

Hozumi (Fig 4, 6, 8, 13b) disclose a bonded anisotropic conducive film, ACF, comprising: a thermal set (Abstract) plastic material (via capable of being molded) capable of hardening at a first temperature; and a plurality of conductive particles forming within the plastic material (Fig 6) wherein each conductive particle has a gold conductive bead (8; Jap. Par. 0022), a bonding layer (10, via bonded to 11) and an insulation layer (11), wherein the bonding layer is comprised of a conductive material and forms a spherical structure that covers the surface of the conductive bead, such that the bonding layer includes a conductive bead, and wherein the insulating layer forms an outermost covering laver of each of said conductive bead, and wherein the bonding layer inherently melts at a second temperature (via metal) and the second temperature is higher than the first temperature; and a flip chip package having a bonded ACF inherently inserted (via its physically between) between a silicon chip (14; Jap. Par. 0039) with a plurality of first contact points (9) and a carrier ("substrate"; 15)

Application/Control Number: 10/047,681 Page 3

Art Unit: 2827

that has a plurality of second contact points (9) that form a common metallic bond through the bonding layer of conductive particles within the bonded ACF.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 7, 13, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hozumi as applied to claim 1, 4, 9 and 15 and further combination with Kozuka et al (US 20010046021).

Hozumi does not appear to disclose that the bonding layer comprises lead-tin.

However Hozumi discloses the same invention except that bonding layer is Au instead of lead-tin, Tanaka (Par. 0281) shows that lead-tin are an equivalent metal platings known in the art known in the art. Therefore, because the two metal platings are art recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute lead-tin for gold.

Further, it would have been obvious to form the metal platings (10) of Hozumi with lead-tin in order to provide a metal plating as required by Hozumi.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/047,681

Art Unit: 2827

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Mitchell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0244. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (703) 308-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2827

Jmp/ /// / /

DAVID E. GRAYBILL